arXiv:1607.08226v1 [cs.FL] 27 Jul 2016

Shift registers fool finite automata

Bjørn Kjos-Hanssen

July 28, 2016

Abstract

Let x be an m-sequence, a maximal length sequence produced by a linear feedback shift register. We show that the nondeterministic automatic complexity $A_N(x)$ is close to maximal: $n/2 - A_N(x) = O(\log^2 n)$, whereas Hyde has shown $A_N(y) \leq n/2 + 1$ for all sequences y.

1 Introduction

Linear feedback shift registers, invented by Golomb [2], may be "the most-used mathematical algorithm idea in history", used at least 10^{27} times in cell phones and other devices [6]. They are particularly known as a simple way of producing pseudorandom output sequences called m-sequences. However, thanks to the Berlekamp–Massey algorithm [4], one can easily find the shortest LFSR that can produce a given sequence x. The length of this LFSR, the *linear complexity* of x, should then be large for a truly pseudorandom sequence, but is small for m-sequences. In this article we show that using a different complexity measure, *automatic complexity*, the pseudorandomness of m-sequences can be measured and, indeed, verified.

While our computer results in Section 3 concern the linear case specifically, our theoretical results in Section 2 concern the following natural abstraction of the usual notion of feedback shift register [1].

Definition 1. *Let $[q] = \{0, \dots, q-1\}$. A q-ary k-stage combinatorial shift register (CSR) is a mapping $\Lambda : [q]^k \to [q]^k$ such that there exists $F : [q]^k \to [q]$ such that for all x_i,*

$$\Lambda(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}, F(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})).$$

The function F is called the feedback function *of Λ.*

Definition 2. *An infinite sequence $x = x_0 x_1 \dots$ is* eventually periodic *if there exist integers M and $N > 0$ such that for all $n > M$, $x_n = x_{n-N}$. The least N for which there exists such an M is the* eventual period *of x.*

Definition 3. *For any k-stage CSR Λ and any word x of length $\geq k$, the* period of Λ upon processing x *is the eventual period of the sequence $\Lambda^t(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1})$, $0 \leq t < \infty$.*

Lemma 4. *Let k and q be positive integers. Let Λ be a q-ary k-stage CSR. Let $x = x_0x_1\ldots$ be an infinite sequence produced by Λ. Then x is eventually periodic, and the period of Λ upon processing x exists and is finite.*

Proof. The infinite sequence $\Lambda^t(x_0,\ldots,x_{k-1})$ for $0 \le t < \infty$ takes values in the finite set $[q]^k$. Thus, by the pigeonhole principle, there exist M and $N > 0$ with

$$\Lambda^M(x_0,\ldots,x_{k-1}) = \Lambda^{M-N}(x_0,\ldots,x_{k-1}).$$

Let $n > M$. Then

$$(x_n,\ldots,x_{n+k-1}) = \Lambda^n(x_0,\ldots,x_{k-1}) = \Lambda^{n-M}\Lambda^M(x_0,\ldots,x_{k-1})$$

$$= \Lambda^{n-M}\Lambda^{M-N}(x_0,\ldots,x_{k-1}) = \Lambda^{n-N}(x_0,\ldots,x_{k-1}) = (x_{n-N},\ldots,x_{n-N+k-1}),$$

hence $x_n = x_{n-N}$. □

We can now define LFSRs and m-sequences. As our computer results concern binary sequences, we take $q = 2$. However, a higher level of generality would also be possible.

Definition 5. *Suppose a k-stage CSR Λ produces the infinite output $x = x_0x_1\ldots$ and its feedback function is a linear transformation of $[q]$ when viewed as the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, where $q = 2$. Then Λ is a* linear feedback shift register *(LFSR). Suppose the period P of Λ upon processing x is 2^k-1. Then $x_0\ldots x_{P-1}$ is called an m-sequence (or maximal length sequence, or PN (pseudo-noise) sequence).*

If m-sequences are pseudo-random in some sense then they should have high, or at least *not unusually low*, complexity according to some measure. In 2015, Jason Castiglione (personal communication) suggested that *automatic complexity* might be that measure.

Definition 6 ([3, 5])**.** *Let $L(M)$ be the language recognized by the automaton M. Let x be a finite sequence.*

- *The (deterministic)* automatic complexity *of x is the least number $A(x)$ of states of a deterministic finite automaton M such that*

$$L(M) \cap \{0,1\}^n = \{x\}.$$

- *The* nondeterministic automatic complexity *$A_N(x)$ is the minimum number of states of a nondeterministic finite automaton (NFA) M accepting x such that there is only one accepting path in M of length $|x|$.*

- *The* non-total deterministic automatic complexity *$A^-(x)$ is defined like $A(x)$ but without requiring totality of the transition function.*

As totality can always be achieved by adding at most one extra "dead" state, we have

$$A_N(x) \le A^-(x) \le A(x) \le A^-(x) + 1.$$



Figure 1: A nondeterministic finite automaton that only accepts one sequence $x = x_1x_2x_3x_4\cdots x_n$ of length $n = 2m + 1$.

Theorem 7 (Hyde [3])**.** *The nondeterministic automatic complexity $A_N(x)$ of a sequence x of length n satisfies*

$$A_N(x) \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1.$$

Figure 1 gives a hint to the proof of Theorem 7 in the case where n is odd. Theorem 7 is sharp [3], and experimentally we find that about 50% of all binary sequences attain the bound. Thus, to "fool" finite automata this bound should be attained or almost attained.

2 Main result for FSRs

We first introduce some automaton-theoretic notions that may not have standardized names in the literature.

Definition 8.

- *A* state sequence *is a sequence of states visited upon processing of an input sequence by a finite automaton.*
- *An* abstract NFA *is an NFA without edge labels.*
- *The abstract NFA M* induced by *a state sequence $s = s_0 \dots s_n$ is defined as follows. The states of M are the states appearing in s. The transitions of M are $s_i \to s_{i+1}$ for each $0 \leq i < n$.*
- *A state sequence $s = s_0 \dots s_n$ is* path-unique *if the abstract NFA induced by s has only one path of length $|s|$ from s_0 to s_n, namely s.*

We use the interval notation $s_{[i,j]} = s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_{j-1} s_j$ and we concatenate as follows: $s_{[i,j]}{}^\frown s_{[j,k]} = s_{[i,j]}s_{[j,k]} = s_{[i,k]}$.

Lemma 9. *Let $s = s_0 \dots s_n$ be a path-unique state sequence. Suppose that $i \leq j \leq k$ are positive integers such that $s_i = s_j = s_k$, and $s_t \neq s_i$ for all $t \in [i, k] \setminus \{i, j, k\}$. Then $s_{[i,j]} = s_{[j,k]}$.*

Proof. By uniqueness of path, $s_{[i,k]} = s_{[i,j]}s_{[j,k]} = s_{[j,k]}s_{[i,j]}$, so one of $s_{[i,j]}$ and $s_{[j,k]}$ is a prefix of the other. But considering the position of the second occurrence of s_i in $s_{[i,k]}$, we can conclude $s_{[i,j]} = s_{[j,k]}$. □

Definition 10. *Let $s = s_0 \dots s_n$ be a path-unique state sequence and let $0 \leq i \leq n$. The* period *of s_i in s is defined to be $\min\{k - j : s_k = s_j = s_i, j < k\}$, if s_i occurs at least twice in s, and to be ∞, otherwise.*

An illustration of periods is given in Figure 2.

Lemma 11. *Let $s = s_0 \dots s_n$ be a path-unique state sequence. If $i \leq j$ and $t > 0$ are integers such that $s_i = s_{i+t}$ and $s_j = s_{j+t}$, then $s_j \in \{s_i, \dots, s_{i+t}\}$.*

Proof. Let M be the abstract NFA induced by s. We proceed by induction on the $k = k_j$ such that $j - t \in [i + (k-1)t, i + kt]$, which exists since $t > 0$. If $k \leq 0$ then $j \leq i + t$ and we are done. So suppose $s_{j'} \in \{s_i, \dots, s_{i+t}\}$ for each j' with $k_{j'} < k_j$. Both of the following state sequences of length $n+1$ are accepting for M:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{s} &= s_{[0,i]}{}^\frown \quad s_{[i+t,j]}{}^\frown s_{[j,j+t]}{}^\frown s_{[j,n]}, \\ s &= s_{[0,i]}{}^\frown s_{[i,i+t]}{}^\frown s_{[i+t,j]}{}^\frown \quad s_{[j,n]}. \end{aligned}$$

Since s is path-unique, $s = \hat{s}$, and so $s_j = \hat{s}_j = s_{i+(j-(i+t))} = s_{j-t}$. Since $k_{j-t} = k_j - 1 < k_j$, by induction $s_{j-t} \in \{s_i, \dots, s_{i+t}\}$, giving $s_j \in \{s_i, \dots, s_{i+t}\}$, as desired. □

Lemma 12. *For each path-unique state sequence s, each number t is the period of at most t states in s.*

Proof. We may of course assume $t < \infty$. Fix i and suppose t is the period of s_i. Let us count how many states s_j there can be such that t is the period of s_j. Since $t < \infty$, s_i appears at least twice in s. Thus, either

- $i + t \leq n$ and $s_i = s_{i+t}$, or
- $0 \leq i - t$ and $s_i = s_{i-t}$.

By Lemma 11, either

- s_j is among the states in $s_{[i,i+t]}$ and $s_i = s_{i+t}$, or
- s_j is among the states in $s_{[i-t,i]}$ and $s_i = s_{i-t}$,

respectively. Either way, there are only at most t choices of such s_j. □

Lemma 13. *Let Q be a positive integer. Let $f : \{1, \dots, Q\} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a function such that $1 \leq f(1)$ and $f(i) < f(i+1)$ for each i. Then $i \leq f(i)$ for each i.*

Proof.

$$f(i) - f(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f(j+1) - f(j) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 1 = i - 1,$$

so $f(i) + 1 \geq i + f(1) \geq i + 1$. □

Lemma 14. *Let $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 0$. Suppose that all uth powers α^u within a sequence x of length n satisfy $u \leq f(|\alpha|)$, where f is non-increasing. Let s be a path-unique state sequence. Let $q_1, \ldots, q_Q$ be a list of states of s ordered by increasing period. Let a_i be the number of occurrences of q_i in s. Let M_s be the abstract NFA induced by s.*

Suppose moreover that x and s are related as follows: x is the input read along the unique accepting path of length $|x|$ of some NFA M which is obtained from M_s by assigning one label to each edge.

Let

$$(b_1, b_2, \ldots) = (f(1)+1, f(2)+1, f(2)+1, \ldots, \underbrace{f(i)+1, \ldots, f(i)+1}_{i \text{ times}}, \ldots).$$

Then $a_i \leq b_i$ for each i.

Proof. For each $1 \leq i \leq Q$, let ℓ_i be the period of q_i. (For instance, we could have $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots) = (3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 6)$.) If q_i occurs $u+1$ times then by Lemma 9 it occurs during the processing of a uth power α^u where $|\alpha| = \ell_i$. Thus q occurs at most $f(\ell_i)+1$ times, i.e., $a_i \leq f(\ell_i)+1$. By Lemma 12, the sequence $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ldots)$ is a subsequence of the sequence $(1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, \ldots)$ hence by Lemma 13, dominates it pointwise. And so $a_i \leq f(\ell_i)+1 \leq b_i$. □

In particular, Lemma 14 tells us that if x is square-free then each state can occur at most twice, which was observed by Shallit and Wang [5].

Lemma 15. *Let $s = s_0 \ldots s_n$ be a state sequence. Let $q_1, \ldots, q_Q$ be the distinct states appearing in s, in any order. Let $a_i \geq 1$ be the number of times q_i occurs. Let $T = n+1 = |s| = \sum_{i=1}^{Q} a_i$. If $a_i \leq g(i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq Q$, and $g(i) = 2$ for all $Q_0 < i < \infty$, with $T_0 := \sum_{i=1}^{Q_0} g(i) \leq T$, then*

$$Q \geq Q_0 + \left\lceil \frac{T - T_0}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Proof. Let w be such that $T - T_0 \in \{2w+1, 2w+2\}$, i.e., $w = \lceil (T-T_0)/2 \rceil - 1$. Then we want to show $Q \geq Q_0 + w + 1$. If $Q < Q_0 + w + 1$ then $Q \leq Q_0 + w$ and then

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{Q} a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{Q_0} g(i) + \sum_{i=Q_0+1}^{Q_0+w} 2 = T_0 + 2w,$$

so $2w+1 \leq T - T_0 \leq 2w$, a contradiction. □

Lemma 16. *Let k be a positive integer. Let Λ be a k-stage CSR. Let $x = x_0 x_1 \ldots$ be an infinite sequence produced by Λ. Let P be the period of Λ upon processing x. Suppose a sequence α of length $s < P$ is repeated u times consecutively within x, i.e., α^u is a contiguous subsequence of x.*

Then $u < k/s + 1$, i.e., $u \leq \lceil \frac{k}{s} \rceil$, i.e., $u \leq f(|\alpha|)$ where $f(a) = \lceil k/a \rceil$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that x contains a block

$$x_j \dots x_{j+au-1} = z_1 \dots z_{au} = y_1 \dots y_a y_1 \dots y_a \dots$$

with u many blocks of length a, where $(u-1)a \geq k$, i.e., $a + k \leq au$. Let $q \geq 0$ and $r \geq 0$ be such that $k = qa + r$. We have

$$\Lambda^j(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) = (x_j \dots x_{j+k-1}) = (z_1, \dots, z_k) = \overbrace{(y_1 \dots y_a) \dots (y_1 \dots y_a)}^{q \text{ times}} y_1 \dots y_r$$

$$= (z_{a+1}, \dots, z_{a+k}) = (x_{j+a} \dots x_{j+a+k-1}) = \Lambda^{j+a}(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}).$$

So x is eventually periodic with period $N \leq a < P$, a contradiction. □

Theorem 17. *Suppose x is a sequence of a length n, and that x is produced by a k-stage CSR Λ. Let P be the period of Λ upon processing x. Assume $P > k$ and $n + 1 \geq 2k(k-1)$. Then $A_N(x) \geq \frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{k(k-1)}{2}$.*

Proof. Let $Q = A_N(x)$. Thus Q is the number of states of an NFA M with only one accepting path s of length n, accepting x along that path. Let $q_1, \dots, q_Q$ be the states of M ordered by increasing period within s. Let a_i be the number of occurrences of q_i.

By Lemma 16, if x contains α^u where $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq k < P$, then $u \leq f(|\alpha|)$ where $f(a) = \lceil k/a \rceil$, a non-increasing function. By Lemma 14, each $a_i \leq b_i$, where

$$(b_1, b_2, \dots) = (f(1)+1, f(2)+1, f(2)+1, \dots, \underbrace{f(i)+1, \dots, f(i)+1}_{i \text{ times}}, \dots).$$

Let $T = n + 1 = |s| = \sum_{i=1}^{Q} a_i$ Let $g(i) = \max\{b_i, 2\}$. Let Q_0 be the least integer such that $b_i \leq 2$ for all $i > Q_0$. Then since $f(k) + 1 = \lceil \frac{k}{k} \rceil + 1 = 2$ and since we are assuming $2k(k-1) \leq n + 1$,

$$T_0 := \sum_{i=1}^{Q_0} g(i) = \sum_{i=1}^{Q_0} b_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i \left(\left\lceil \frac{k}{i} \right\rceil + 1 \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i \left(\frac{k}{i} + 2 \right) = k(k-1) + k(k-1) = 2k(k-1) \leq n + 1 = T,$$

and $g(i) = 2$ for all $i > Q_0$. Hence by Lemma 15, $Q \geq Q_0 + Q_1$, where $Q_1 = \lceil \frac{T - T_0}{2} \rceil$. Note that Q_1 is the minimum number of twos whose sum is at least $T - T_0$. (For instance, if $T - T_0 = 2w + 1$, say, then $Q_1 = w + 1 = \lceil \frac{T-T_0}{2} \rceil$.)

Thus

$$\overbrace{\left(\left\lceil \frac{k}{1} \right\rceil + 1 \right) + 2 \left(\left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil + 1 \right) + \dots + (k-1) \left(\left\lceil \frac{k}{k-1} \right\rceil + 1 \right)}^{Q_0 \text{ many terms}} + \overbrace{2 + 2 + \dots}^{Q_1 \text{ many terms}} \geq n+1.$$

Clearly $Q_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i = k(k-1)/2$. Now $T_0 + (T - T_0) = n+1$, $T_0 \le 2k(k-1)$, and $2Q_1 \ge T - T_0$, so

$$2k(k-1) + 2Q_1 \ge n+1, \qquad Q_1 \ge \frac{n+1}{2} - k(k-1),$$

and

$$Q \ge Q_0 + Q_1 \ge \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + \frac{n+1}{2} - k(k-1) = \frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{k(k-1)}{2}. \qquad \square$$

Example 18. *By Theorem 17 the sequence $y = 0123456$ produced by the 1-stage LFSR with $x_{j+1} = x_j + 1$ (mod 7) satisfies $A_N(y) \ge \frac{7+1}{2} - \frac{1(1-1)}{2} = 4$. This is sharp by Theorem 7.*

Theorem 19. *Let x be an m-sequence and let $n = |x|$. Then $n/2 - A_N(x) = O(\log^2(n))$.*

Proof. By Theorem 17 with k chosen such that $n = 2^k - 1$,

$$A_N(x) \ge \frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{\log_2(n+1)(\log_2(n+1)-1)}{2}. \qquad \square$$

3 Computer results

3.1 Linear FSRs

Theorem 20. *Let $x \in \{0,1\}^n$ be an m-sequence, where $n = 2^k - 1$, $k \le 5$. Then $A_N(x) = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$.*

Theorem 20 was verified in 36 hours using a Python script.

Theorem 21. *There exists a sequence x with $A^-(x) - A_N(x) \ge 2$. In fact, there is an m-sequence x with $A^-(x) - A_N(x) = 2$.*

Proof. Let $x = 00010101101000011001001111110111$. A computer run showed that $A^-(x) \ge 18$. The production of this sequence by an LFSR with 5 bits is shown in detail in Figure 3. Figure 2 can be used to verify that $A^-(x) \le 18$. According to Theorem 20, $A_N(x) = 16$. $\square$

We also found another m-sequence y for $k = 5$ with $A^-(y) = 17$. Thus not every m-sequence has maximal A^--complexity:

Theorem 22. *There is an m-sequence x and a sequence y with $|x| = |y|$ such that $A^-(x) < A^-(y)$.*

Conjecture 23. *There is an m-sequence x and a sequence y with $|x| = |y|$ such that $A_N(x) < A_N(y)$.*

Using our current algorithm and implementation, the calculation of $A_N(x)$ for m-sequences x of length $2^6 - 1$ is unfortunately out of reach.



(a)

Time	State	Period of state
0	0	∞
1	1	∞
2	2	29
3	3	∞
4	4	26
5	5	23
6	6	23
7	7	20
8	8	∞
9	9	17
10	A	14
11	B	14
12	C	11
13	D	8
14	E	8
15	F	5
16	G	∞
17	H	1
18	H	
19	H	
20	F	
21	D	
22	E	
23	C	
24	A	
25	B	
26	9	
27	7	
28	5	
29	6	
30	4	
31	2	

(b)

Figure 2: An optimal deterministic automaton, witness to $A^-(00010101101000011001001111101111) = 18$, and its times, states, and periods. There is only 1 state with period 1, and in general at most ℓ states with period ℓ.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(a) One LFSR step as a matrix multiplication.

$\oplus$	$\oplus$	$\oplus$		$\oplus$
0	1	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0
0	1	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	1
1	1	0	1	0
0	1	1	0	1
1	0	1	1	0
0	1	0	1	1
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	0
1	0	0	1	1
0	1	0	0	1
0	0	1	0	0
1	0	0	1	0
1	1	0	0	1
1	1	1	0	0
1	1	1	1	0
1	1	1	1	1
0	1	1	1	1
1	0	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	1
1	1	1	0	1
0	1	1	1	0
0	0	1	1	1
0	0	0	1	1
1	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	0	0

(b) Producing an m-sequence.

Figure 3: The operation of a linear feedback shift register producing the sequence from Theorem 21.

3.2 Nonlinears FSRs

For $k = 3$ there are two possible feedback functions that give an injective function with a single cycle,

$$F(p, q, r) = p + pq + r + 1 \text{ and } F(p, q, r) = q + pq + r + 1 \mod 2.$$

One of them gives the output 00011101, which has $A_N(00011101) = 4$ and so is *not* maximally A_N-complex.

Acknowledgments

This work was presented at the SIAM Meeting on Discrete Mathematics in Atlanta, Georgia, in 2016, and was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#315188 to Bjørn Kjos-Hanssen). This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1545707.

References

[1] Berndt M. Gammel and Rainer Göttfert. Linear filtering of nonlinear shift-register sequences. In *Coding and cryptography*, volume 3969 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 354–370. Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[2] Solomon W. Golomb. *Shift register sequences*. With portions co-authored by Lloyd R. Welch, Richard M. Goldstein, and Alfred W. Hales. Holden-Day, Inc., San Francisco, Calif.-Cambridge-Amsterdam, 1967.

[3] Kayleigh Hyde and Bjørn Kjos-Hanssen. Nondeterministic automatic complexity of overlap-free and almost square-free words. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 22(3):Paper 3.22, 18 pp., 2015.

[4] James L. Massey. Shift-register synthesis and BCH decoding. *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, IT-15:122–127, 1969.

[5] Jeffrey Shallit and Ming-Wei Wang. Automatic complexity of strings. *J. Autom. Lang. Comb.*, 6(4):537–554, 2001. 2nd Workshop on Descriptional Complexity of Automata, Grammars and Related Structures (London, ON, 2000).

[6] Stephen Wolfram. Solomon Golomb (1932-2015). `http://blog.stephenwolfram.com/2016/05/solomon-golomb-19322016/`.